

1 COOLEY LLP  
MICHAEL G. RHODES (116127)  
2 (rhodesmg@cooley.com)  
KATHLEEN HARTNETT (314267)  
3 (khartnett@cooley.com)  
KYLE C. WONG (224021)  
4 (kwong@cooley.com)  
3 Embarcadero Center 20th Floor  
5 San Francisco, CA 94111-4004  
Telephone: (415) 693-2000  
6 Facsimile: (415) 693-2222

7 LINH K. NGUYEN (305737)  
(lknghuyen@cooley.com)  
8 JAMIE D. ROBERTSON (326003)  
(jdrobotson@cooley.com)  
9 4401 Eastgate Mall  
San Diego, California 92121  
10 Telephone: (858) 550-6000  
Facsimile: (858) 550-6420  
11

12 Attorneys for Defendant  
TWITTER, INC.  
13

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  
17

18 JOHN DOE #1 AND JOHN DOE #2,  
19 Plaintiffs,  
20 v.  
21 TWITTER, INC.,  
22 Defendants.  
23

Case No. 3:21-cv-00485-JCS

**JOINT STATUS UPDATE PURSUANT TO  
COURT'S FEBRUARY 14, 2022, ORDER  
(ECF NO. 93) AND STIPULATED  
REQUEST TO CONTINUE HEARING**

Hearing Date: June 24, 2022  
Hearing Time: 2:00 p.m.  
Judge: Honorable Joseph C. Spero

1 Defendant Twitter, Inc. and Plaintiffs John Doe #1 and John Doe #2 (collectively, the  
2 “Parties”), respectfully submit this joint status update regarding this matter.

3 On October 26, 2021, the Court entered an order certifying the Court’s August 19, 2021,  
4 motion to dismiss order for interlocutory appeal and staying all proceedings in the Action. (ECF  
5 No. 85.)

6 On January 21, 2022, the Ninth Circuit granted the Parties’ petition for permission to appeal  
7 and cross-petition for permission to appeal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b). (ECF No. 92.)

8 On June 3, 2022, Twitter filed its opening Ninth Circuit brief. Plaintiffs’ answering brief  
9 and opening brief in support of its cross-appeal are due on August 4, 2022. Twitter’s reply brief in  
10 support of its appeal and answering brief are due on September 6, 2022. Plaintiffs’ optional cross-  
11 appeal reply brief is due twenty-one days after service of Twitter’s reply and answering brief.

12 Since the last Case Management Conference, the Parties have met with the Ninth Circuit  
13 mediator to discuss a potential mediation session. No mediation session has been held yet. Given  
14 the continuing nature of the appeal, the Parties respectfully request a continuance of the Case  
15 Management Conference scheduled for June 24, 2022.

16  
17  
18 Dated: June 17, 2022

COOLEY LLP

19  
20 By: /s/ Linh K. Nguyens  
21 Linh K. Nguyen

22 *Attorneys for Defendant Twitter, Inc.*

23 Dated: June 17, 2022

NATIONAL CENTER ON SEXUAL  
24 EXPLOITATION CENTER

25  
26 By: /s/ Peter Gentala  
Peter Gentala

27 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs John Doe #1 and John*  
28 *Doe #2.*

**ATTESTATION**

*Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, Linh Nguyen hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained.*

Dated: June 17, 2022

COOLEY LLP

/s/ Linh Nguyen

Linh K. Nguyen

*Attorneys for Defendant Twitter, Inc.*

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated:

HON. JOSEPH C. SPERO

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE